App F IX 4

Previous References

Rl 2-43 (30 66)
& M 14-44 (9)

Later References

1948
(Rl)

File No 3-48

Serial Nos 10 + 11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos

P.7 (E)

Part B for Sept 48

SUBJECT

Payment of allowance to Sri P K Choya Ayurvedic Pandit Serungapatnam

d 2533-5 / 11-9-48

To D C Mandya with records
pdt Town Municipal Council
Serungapatnam
Comptroller to Govt

Sanctioned

233/ml 247 → 249/ml

Allowance to Sri p k chay, Ayurvedic pandit Serangapattam

From

The Dy Commr Maidyan District

No A S D B 52/46 47 dt 6 7 48

Requests approval & Govt to decide the allowance of Rs 10/ sanctioned to Sri chay Ayurvedic pandit Serangapattam between June and the Hakeem at Rs 5/- p M each in accordance with the Resolution dated 23 5 48 of the Serangapattam town Municipal Council

Copy "E"

1) An allowance of Rs 10/ p M to Sri p k chay was sanctioned from the Municipal funds on Govt No L 6261-3/p M 14-46-9 dated 22-12-44 towards the Cost of Revenue. etc

2) The Serangapattam town Municipal Council has now proposed to distribute the above allowance between Sri chay and the Local Hakeem who is said to do chay very useful work on equal proportions and have resolved to that effect on 1st Resolution

233/mc

Allowance to Sri P K Chay Ayurvedic pandit Serangapatnam

dated 23-5-48 In file from 1/1/47 Flag "A"

The Municipal Council is pleased to pay paying only Rs 5/- to Sri Chay and the balance to the Maharaja Sri Chay has also been representing for restoring his allowance

3) The Dewan Bahadur Singh & Mr Gowda think it is irregular for the Municipal Council to have decided the allowance sanctioned by Govt has however recommended sanction to the above resolution of the Municipal Council as the Council is pleased to be seen as such

4) Submit for orders

Re 12/8/48 for 13/8/48

Flag 7

5) The resolution of the Serangapatna Municipal Council to divide the allowance of Rs ten per mensem granted to the Vaidya P K Chay between the Ayurvedic Pandit & the Hakim at the rate of Rs 5 each may be approved

THE MINISTRY FOR LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT
No 8888
Date 888

pending for 16/8/48

The above proposal is for consideration & orders.

Regn No) Appl Rc 2/8/48 18/8/48 Sec 20/8/48

L-5-8

233/mk V549 V547

Allowance to Sri P K Thayi Ayurvedic pandit Srirangam

To

DR please

for

2/9/48

Office Memo put up for approval

Mee

3/9/48 Pr 449-47

Issue

for

4/9/48

To,

The Deputy Commr Mandya Dt

The Pdt Srirangapalam Mandya Dt

The Comptroller

G.O No L25-33-5/mis 3-48-11 11-9-48

Sanctions the proposal

B

3/9/48

Rep-14/7
II Rep 5/8.

Ml 233

No A5 D B 52/46-47

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District,
Mandya, Dated the 6th July 1948

From

Janab K Mohamed Ahmed, B A ,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District, MANDYA



Ml 3-48-10 MM

To

Janab Syed Abdul Aleem, B A ,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, BANGALORE

Sir,

Re Payment of allowance to Sri P K Choyi - Ayurvedic Pandit - Seringapatam

---

In enclosing herein the entire file of records received from the President, Town Municipal Council, Seringapatam, with No O C 282/47-48 dated 8-6-48 on the above subject, I have the honour to state that the Government in their order No L 6261-3/MM 14-44-9 dated 22-12-44 sanctioned an allowance of Rs 10/- P M to Sri P K Choyi (P.K S Vaidyar) Ayurvedic Pandit, Seringapatam on the recommendation of the Municipal Council The Paddit appears to have been paid the amount up to the end of June 1946 but subsequent to the date, the Municipal Council of their own accord without obtaining proper sanction curtailed the allowance to Rs 5/- per month and ordered payment of the balance of Rs 5/- to a Hakim at the place

949 E

On the repeated representations of the Ayurvedic pandit, the Present Municipal Council resolved at their meeting held on 25-1-48 that his prayer cannot be considered At the instance of the President, Mandya District Board, the President, Municipal Council, Seringapatam, was instructed to disburse the allowance as sanctioned by Government to the Ayurvedic pandit and to submit proposals for grant of an allowance to the Hakim, if necessary He has submitted a resolution of the Municipal Council dated 25-5-48 requesting sanction to the distribution of the grant of Rs 5/- each to the Ayurvedic pandit and the Hakim who is also stated doing useful work in the field When the sanction of Government was in favour of the Ayurvedic pandit, the Municipal Council, should not have divided the same between the pandit and the Hakim

Since, however, the Municipal Council are keen on distributing the allowance between the pandit and the Hakim, I request sanction of Government to the resolution of the Municipal

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District

No 247

Ml 247

Ayurveda Pilasa Oushadhashala

Pandit Vaidyashiromani
P K S P dya
(REG) A M P

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR P H & EX
No 1543
Date 28/6/48

SERINGAPATAM (My State)
Date 194 8
GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
8 JUL 1948
247
MUNICIPAL BRANCH

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT
No 2467
Date

Minister for Local Self Govt
Bangalore

Minister for Health,
Bangalore

Member for L S Govt/C

3/7/48

Connected ir.

Regarding the non-payment of grant from the Seringapatam Municipality of Rs. 10/- which was sanctioned to me by Govt in G.O. No. 6961-31 14-44-40 dated 22-12-44

Need more please call for a report

29/6/48

Rev Secy

In connection with the above, I beg to bring to your kind notice that the grant was sanctioned to me by the Benign Govt to give my little services to the public in medical aid. In spite of the troubles that I met during the great war time towards the supply of medicines at prohibitive cost, I have tried my level best in giving my humble services to the public. For this I approached Govt to embrace the grant sanctioned to me in view of the cost of living and to ease while I am waiting for enhancement the Municipality have reduced the grant of Rs. 10/- to Rs. 5/- and now they have completely stopped the grant itself. I understand the Municipality is paying this grant that sanctioned to me to one Govt servant (Peshkar in Serishtadar's office). The grant was reduced to Rs. 5/- from July 1946 and from May 1948 they have completely stopped payment. From this about Rs. 120/- is due to me from the Municipality as the sanction stands.

Though I have submitted several applications to the concerned higher authorities in the matter nothing has been done till now.

Mr KRS

5/7/48

In this connection I have to state that if the Benign Govt wishes to pay the grant to somebody else, I have absolutely no objection and my only request is to pay me the arrears due to me till date. The division of the grant of Rs. 10/- to two parties does not benefit any one. It may either be paid to me in full or paid to the other person in full.

In view of the above, I fervently hope that the Govt will kindly look into this matter and do justice for which act of kindness, I shall ever remain grateful.

Thanking with respects to the favour received, Yours obediently,

K K Bandekar

No 247

Mr 249 2229

Ayurveda Pisa Oushadhashala

Pa d t Va dyas an D K S D Jya (REGD.)

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT

No 2229

Date 23/6/49

To

SERINGAPATAM
My St t)

Date 8th June 1948

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
8 JUL 1948
249
MUNICIPAL BRANCH

The Minister for Local Self Govt
Bangalore

REVENUE SECRETARY
I 2846
GOVERNMENT OF

Respected Sir,

Re Sanction of grant from the Seringapatam municipality of Rs. 10/- which was sanctioned to me by Govt in G O No L 6261-31 I 14-44-4 dated 29-12-44 My No 14898 dated 12-3-48

Re Sanction 6/48 Rev Secy

In connection with the above I beg to bring to your kind notice that the grant was sanctioned to me by the benign Govt to give me little services to the public in medical aid In spite of the troubles that I met during the past war time towards the supply of medicines at prohibitive cost, I have tried my level best in giving my humble service to the public For this I approached Govt to enhance the grant sanctioned to me in view of the cost of living and wages etc while I was waiting for enhancement the municipality have reduced the grant of Rs 10/- to Rs 5/- and now they have completely stopped the grant itself I understand the municipality is paying this grant though sanctioned to me to one Govt servant (Daffedar in Seringapatam Taluk office) The grant was reduced to Rs 5/- from July 1946 and from May 1948 they have completely stopped payment From this about Rs 120/- is due to me from the municipality as the sanction stands

Though I have submitted several applications to the concerned higher authorities in the matter nothing has been done till now

In this connection I have to state that if the benign Govt wishes to pay the grant to somebody else, I have absolutely no objection and my only request is to pay me the arrears due to me till date The division of the grant of Rs 10/- to two parties does not benefit any one It may either be paid to me in full or paid to the other man in full

In view of the above, I fervently hope that the Govt will kindly look into this matter and do justice for which act of kindness, I shall ever remain grateful

Yours most obediently
P K Vaidyar

Thanking with respects to the Deputy Commr

Chaya - Ask the Head

G R S App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

4 Copies

Diary No of letter replied to 249/mk

Section MK

File No 3-48

Serial No 11

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

th *194*

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue 11-9- 1948

Read— G O No L 6261-3/2 N 14-44-9 dated 22 12-44 sanctioning municipal funds an allowance of Rs 10/ p M to Sri P K Chayi Aravedu pandit, Serangapattana towards the Cost of medicines &c

2) Letter No A S-D B 52 dated 6 7 48 from the D C, Mandya District requesting sanction to the resolution dated 23-5 48 of the Serangapattana Town Municipal Council to discontinue the above allowance of Rs 10/ equally between Sri Chayi and the local Hakeem who is stated to be doing

Signed by Sd/ for payment

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the p ess ?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

equally except Vorn and adding that the Municipal Commr has been paying Rs 5/ per each to the Chowy and the Nakkeen from 1-1-47

Order no 25^33-5^

Sanctioned 11-9-48

for

for Rev Secy

Rc 3/9/48

Rr 10-9-48

To

1) The D C, Mandya Dt NTR

2) President, - Srirangapattana town Municipal Council

3) Camp Clerk Tax Coll-

List of Enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 087—GPB—40 000—14 11 40

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:-

Government Order No. L.6261/3/ I.M 14-44-9 dated 22nd December 1944 sanctioning the payment of an allowance out of Municipal Funds Rs.10/- per mensem to Sri. P K. Choyi, A yurvedic Pandit, Seringapatam towards the cost of medicine etc.,

2 Letter No A 5 D B.52/46-47 dated 6th July 1948 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District requesting sanction to the resolutions dated 23rd May 1948 of the Seringapatam Town Municipal Council to distribute the above allowances of Rs 10/- equally between Sri Choyi and the Local Hakim who is stated to be doing equally useful work and adding that the Municipal Council has been paying Rs 5/- per mensem each to Sri Choyi and the Hakim from 1st January 1947

Order No L 9533-5/Mnl. 3-48-11

Dated, Bangalore the 11 September 1948

SANCTIONED

Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District with records
" President, Seringapatam Town Municipal Council
" Comptroller to Government

GR.B O/c